

Resummed Transverse Momentum Distribution in $b \rightarrow s\gamma$

R.SGHEDONI

Università di Parma & INFN, Parma, Italy

Abstract

We present the complete calculation of the transverse momentum distribution for the decay $b \rightarrow s\gamma$. The calculation of the coefficients of the infrared logarithms is performed using general properties of QCD. The resummation of large infrared logarithms is accomplished according to usual techniques of resummation. Non logarithmic terms (constants and function vanishing at the border of the phase space) are calculated for the leading operator of the effective hamiltonian, $\hat{O}_7$.

1 Transverse Momentum Distribution in $b \rightarrow s\gamma$.

The process $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ is a rare decay of the *beauty* quark, having inclusive branching ratio [1]:

$$BR(b \rightarrow s\gamma) = (3.34 \pm 0.30)10^{-4} \quad (1)$$

It shows a non trivial interplay of electroweak and strong interactions: the partonic transition is mediated by a loop even at the lowest level and an effective hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ts}^* V_{tb} \sum_{j=1}^8 C_j(\mu) \hat{O}_j(\mu) \quad (2)$$

is built to integrate out the heavy particles in the loop (a W boson and the *top* quark)[2]. Strong corrections to the coefficients $C_j(\mu)$ in

the hamiltonian produce logarithmic terms of the form

$$\alpha_S^n \log^n \frac{m_W^2}{m_b^2} \quad (3)$$

which can be resummed with renormalization group techniques [3]. It turns out that the leading operator of the effective hamiltonian is the so-called magnetic penguin

$$\hat{O}_7 = \frac{e}{16\pi^2} m_{b,\overline{MS}}(\mu_b) \bar{s}_{L,\alpha} \sigma^{\mu\nu} b_{R,\alpha} F_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

We have considered the transverse momentum distribution of the s quark with respect to the photon direction [4]:

$$x = \frac{p_\perp^2}{m_b^2}. \quad (5)$$

At the lowest order they are emitted along the same direction because of momentum conservation, while at higher orders of the perturbation theory a transverse momentum is generated because of gluon emissions from the heavy quark (b) or the light quark (s).

The partially integrated rate

$$D(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \frac{d\Gamma}{dx'} dx' \quad (6)$$

at the order α_S can be written as

$$D(x) = 1 + \alpha_S \left[-\frac{A_1}{4} \log^2 x + B_1 \log x + \text{non log terms} \right]. \quad (7)$$

The logarithms become large near the border of the phase space, for the emission of soft or collinear (to the emitting quark) gluons. These logarithms need to be resummed to every order of the perturbation theory according to well known tools of resummation.

It is well known [5] that the resummation of large logarithms is accomplished by an expression of the form:

$$D(x) = K(\alpha_S) \Sigma(x; \alpha_S) + R(x; \alpha_S), \quad (8)$$

where

- $\Sigma(x; \alpha_S)$ is a universal, process-independent function resumming the infrared logarithms in exponentiated form. It can be expanded in a series of functions as:

$$\log \Sigma(x; \alpha_S) = L g_1(\alpha_S L) + g_2(\alpha_S L) + \alpha_S g_3(\alpha_S L) + \dots, \quad (9)$$

where $L = \log x$ (in general L is a large infrared logarithm). The functions g_i resum logarithms of the same size: in particular g_1 resums leading logarithms of the form $\alpha_S^n L^{n+1}$ and g_2 the next-to-leading ones $\alpha_S^n L^n$;

- $K(\alpha_S)$ is a short-distance coefficient function, a process-dependent function, which can be calculated in perturbation theory:

$$K(\alpha_S) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_S C_F}{\pi} k_1 + O(\alpha_S^2). \quad (10)$$

- $R(x; \alpha_S)$ is the remainder function and satisfies the condition

$$R(x; \alpha_S) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

It is process dependent, takes into account hard contributions and is calculable as an ordinary α_S expansion:

$$R(x; \alpha_S) = \frac{\alpha_S C_F}{\pi} r_1(x) + O(\alpha_S^2). \quad (12)$$

In [4] the resummation of infrared logarithms has been performed with next-to-leading accuracy in the function $\Sigma(x; \alpha_S)$. The coefficients A_1 and B_1 have been calculated from general properties of QCD radiation, applying the perturbative evolution for the light quark, described by the Altarelli-Parisi kernel, and the eikonal approximation for the massive quark. The resummation of the logarithms has been performed in the impact parameter space, taking into account running coupling effects, and the function g_1 and g_2 have been obtained. The occurrence of singularities in the resummed formula signals that even this improved perturbative approach is not reliable near the border of the phase space, where non perturbative effects (related to the initial and final states) make their appearance. In [4] the impossibility of defining a *shape function* [6] is discussed.

In [7] the next-to-leading calculation has been completed calculating the correction of order α_S to the coefficient function $K(\alpha_S)$; the remainder function $R(\alpha_S; x)$ has been also computed introducing harmonic polylogarithms as in [8]. In opposition to $\Sigma(x; \alpha_S)$, these two functions are process dependent and have to be evaluated by the explicit calculation of one loop Feynman diagrams. This completes the calculation at the next-to-leading order of the transverse momentum distribution.

An accurate comparison with experimental data will be interesting to conclude whether the resummation of large logarithms, considered a standard tool in b physics, is reliable at low energies. In fact the resummation of large logarithms has been developed in the past years to describe processes at higher energies, for example at LEP, at $Q^2 = M_{Z^0}^2$ energies, where the strong coupling constant α_S is smaller, $\alpha_S(M_{Z^0}^2) \sim 0.1$, and non perturbative effects are less relevant.

Perturbation theory has been applied to describe decays of the beauty quark since its discovery. While the expansion parameter

$$\alpha_S(m_B) \sim 0.21$$

being reasonably small, allows one to trust the computations, it is difficult to directly compare the perturbative approach with the experimental data. As it is well known, decay rates do not make good quantities to be compared with the data, because they are proportional to the fifth power of the beauty quark mass, a poorly known parameter and because they involve in principle unknown CKM matrix elements such as V_{cb} , V_{ub} , V_{ts} , etc... This means that it is not clear if discrepancies between theory and data have to be ascribed to an inaccurate theory or to uncertainties of the parameters. From this point of view semi-inclusive quantities, such as the transverse momentum distribution we are considering, can give information about the reliability of the perturbation theory at low energies, and can suggest new tools to deal with non perturbative effects (as in the case of the *shape function* for the threshold distribution [6]).

The contents of this paper arise from my work with Prof. L.Trentadue and Dr. U.Aglietti: I wish to thank Prof. Trentadue for his collaboration and Dr. Aglietti for his essential help. Furthermore I would like to thank my proof-reader Franco M. Neri.

References

- [1] C.Jessop, SLAC-PUB-9610
- [2] B.Grinstein, R.Springer, M.Wise, Nucl. Phys. B339, 269 (1990)
- [3] K.Chetyrkin, M.Misiak, M.Munz, Phys. Lett. B400, 206 (1997),
Erratum Phys. Lett. B425, 414 (1998)
- [4] U.Aglietti, R.Sghedoni, L.Trentadue, Phys. Lett. B522, 83 (2001)
- [5] S.Catani, L.Trentadue, G.Turnock, B.Webber, Nucl. Phys. B407, 3 (1993)
- [6] I. Bigi, M. Shifman, N. Uraltsev and A. Vainshtein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 496 (1993); Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 9, 2467 (1994); A. Manohar and M. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 49, 1310 (1994); M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D 49, 3392 and 4623 (1994); T. Mannel and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D 50, 2037 (1994), U. Aglietti and G. Ricciardi, Nucl. Phys. B 587, 363 (2000); U. Aglietti, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 96, 453 (2001)
- [7] U.Aglietti, R.Sghedoni, L.Trentadue, hep-ph/0310360
- [8] E.Remiddi, J.A.M.Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A15, 725 (2000)